

**YORK AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
TECHNICAL & COORDINATING COMBINED MEETING  
APRIL 24, 2008**

**1. Call to Order:**

The meeting at rabbittransit was called to order by Representative Saylor at 9:00 AM.

**2. Minutes of the January 3, 2008 Technical Committee Meeting:**

*There being no questions or comments, Mr. Reilly made a motion to approve the January 3, 2008 minutes as presented. The motion was seconded by Ms. Schaub, voted on and approved as presented.*

**3. Minutes of the January 24, 2008 Coordinating Committee Meeting:**

*There being no questions or comments, Mr. Reilly made a motion to approve the January 24, 2008 minutes as presented. The motion was seconded by Ms. Dell, voted on and approved as presented.*

**4. Job Access Reverse Commute (JARC) and New Freedom Funding Applications**

Mr. Rebert reviewed the documentation he handed out stating that the Coordinated Plan is the basis for making decisions/recommendations for JARC and New Freedom. He highlighted the JARC and New Freedom Programs stating they are two new programs. Mr. Rebert stated the applications were sent out before May 1<sup>st</sup>, and noted the applications must be back tomorrow (May 29, 2008) at 4:30 p.m. He explained the process if there are no applications at that time as Option A. Option B was sending information to the Committees by Email if an application(s) is received..

*Ms. Dell made a motion for approval of Option A and the letter explaining that we have gone through the process and there were no applications submitted. The motion was seconded by Mr. Christie, voted on and carried as stated.*

**5. YAMPO Bylaw Amendment**

Ms. Bitner presented/reviewed the Bylaws stating that they have addressed Representative Saylor's concerns from the January meeting, regarding Region Representative rotation schedule, and highlighted the recommended Options listed on the last page of the report. She stated the YCPC is recommending Option B. Ms. Bitner addressed the issues, in red, of planning region terms, qualifications for region representatives, mid-term appointment procedures and designated alternate representatives for the Technical Committee. She reviewed the split appointment votes of the legislative delegation, joint meetings, determination of telephone/e-mail ballots and the steps that would be taken in regards to how the votes were approved or denied as they move through the different Committees. A brief discussion ensued.

*Following the discussion, Mr. Reilly made a motion for approval of the YAMPO Bylaw Amendment as presented. The motion was seconded, voted on and carried as stated.*

## 6. 2007 TIP Modifications

Mr. Panko highlighted the changes to the TIP and asked for any questions from the Committee.

Mr. Clark questioned why the de-obligation was done so quickly. Mr. Panko stated he will check into it. Mr. Clark asked whether an action one year to the next will automatically recalculate allowing for price increases.

Mr. Adams explained his general rule for de-obligation is not to let anything sit over \$100,000.

Mr. Panko reviewed the amendment to the Highway FFY 2007-2010 TIP Modification. Representative Saylor asked if the bridges are being rehabed, how long before they would need more work. Mr. Christie stated they can get 40 years out of a bridge. Mr. Orndorff asked if they would be looking at the need to widen the bridge during this period. Mr. Christie stated they would look at that during the final designing phase. A brief discussion ensued.

Following the discussion *Ms. Dell made a motion for approval of the 2007 TIP Modifications as presented. The motion was seconded by Mr. Christie, voted on and carried as stated.*

## 7. 2009-2012 Draft TIP

- *Transit TIP* - Mr. Clark highlighted the operation of the system, express bus purchase and one addition, noting they are contingent on the MPO supplying funding through CMAQ to operate the Express Bus service to the south. He noted that two of the projects are facility projects. Mr. Clark stated that the Act 44 is included as well and is identified with the State Code of 448. A brief discussion ensued.

Following the discussion, *Ms. Dell made a motion for approval of the 2009-2012 Draft Transit TIP as presented. The motion was seconded by Mr. Christie, voted on and carried as stated.*

- *Highway TIP* - Mr. Clark highlighted the new packet stating that it's different than what was seen by the Committees in January. He stated in January we had line items noted for the Act 44 funding. Mr. Clark stated that on this TIP there are specific projects spelled out for Act 44 funding and additional funding for bridges under the bond proposal in the Governor's budget. He noted we also received, from the Statewide decision, Discretionary Act 44 Funds for a bridge project.

Mr. Clark highlighted the 2009 summary of the TIP, noting the increases in funds from the 2007 TIP, additional funds through Spike money, Discretionary Funds, and Congressional Earmarks. He stated that in addition to what he already has listed we received an additional \$1.5 million from Harrisburg and Lancaster for the overlap urbanized area into York County. Mr. Clark stated that will be available over the next 4 years and puts us at almost \$190 million of total funding.

Mr. Clark highlighted the 2007 TIP projects, stating that we need to look at which of these projects will carry over. He noted that not all of the projects carry over to the next year, the reason being that they may be completed prior to next year. Mr. Clark noted that if the projects carry over the project cost must be increased to reflect what the Inflation adjusted cost with inflation would be in 2009. He cautioned the Committees that the shaded areas on page 2 of 2 indicate projects that their let date

will be very close to the end of the year and we may need to keep an eye on them and allow some unused dollars for programing in case we need to carry them over. Mr. Clark also touched on some of the earmarks that are not on the 2009 TIP and how they should be handled.

Mr. Clark handed out the 2009 TIP Projects, a summary of all 5 phases of the Highway and Bridge projects, and the total cost of all of the phases. A lengthy discussion ensued regarding the “Design Build” process and concerns regarding the length of time/reasons the bridges sometimes remain on the TIP. Mr. Christie stated they are targeting some of the worse bridges, and addressing almost 80 of the top 100 bridges. He stated there needs to be a balanced program. Representative Saylor stated that one lane bridges should be determined by PennDOT and considered by YAMPO not a local community.

Mr. Clark highlighted the Bond Funding projects, stating that the statement along with our public comment “ this is a proposal that has not been finalized yet passed by the Legislature” so it is really saying that it is a proposal in the budget that needs to go to the General Assembly. He stated that if it is not passed by the General Assembly those projects will be taken off of the TIP.

Mr. Clark noted some Cost Changes/Modifications on the TIP Summary, one being the Route 462 project in Springettsbury Township between Locust Grove and Route 24, the PA 24 project at the Longstown Intersection and we need to keep an eye on the bubble projects. Also, we need to consider the Susquehanna Regional Transportation Partnership. He stated that at the January meeting we said we would approve 2 years of funding, but on the final Draft TIP it shows 3 years of funding, so we need to include that as part of the motion that it should read 2 years instead of 3 years. Mr. Clark pointed out some issues regarding the York Earmarks. He questioned how to address projects, I-83 Exits 14 & 15, I-83 Ramp Improvements (SR114 & I-83), Intermodal Facility ES-3 and the Beaver St., NW Triangle that do not show up on the Transportation Improvement Program. He noted that we gave CMAQ money to the Intermodal Facility ES-3 in order to move it along more quickly. Mr. Clark stated the language has been changed by the U. S. Congress Technical Committee, although it has not been officially signed by the President yet, to move this \$1.6 million into the Route 24 Widening Project. Mr. Adams explained how the Earmark money is released. A brief discussion ensued. Mr. Adams pointed out PennDOT has a tracking system on all of the projects. Ms. Metz point out they get a report every month and they will see that it is available to YAMPO. Ms. Dell discussed the Concord Road Extension, two-lane road, questioning when their Earmark money will come through for completion of that project. Mr. Clark stated it is a Conversion and they can’t get their money until October 1<sup>st</sup> of this year. Mr. Adams stated that if they do get the Correction Bill, they will be putting that money on PA 24.

Mr. Panko, PennDOT Central Office, addressed the State Highway and Interstate funding stating the figures are close to Mr. Clark’s figures, with the exception of other funds listed under State Maintenance Funds consisting of \$1.9 million which is also being included in the TIP. He discussed “Funding Sources for Funding By Year” and the District 8 FY TIP Allocation Summary. Mr. Panko stated that all the money is on the TIP and everything is accounted for. He noted there may be some adjustments needed later.

Mr. Panko highlighted the 2008-2012 TIP SD Bridges Scheduled for Let/Construction and the District 8 Bridge Update for 2009 TIP process. A brief discussion ensued.

Mr. Bubb asked if the Bond money doesn't develop will they be adjusting the TIP. Mr. Panko stated they would not take money from any other project already on the TIP.

Mr. Clark stated that since all of the bridges we have currently on the TIP are scheduled for completion in 2012 we might want to start to look at bridges to be put on the TIP in the pipeline. He stated that the mix in type of bridges is his concern since there is a lot of construction in 10, 11 and 12 and none in 13, 14 and 15. Mr. Panko stated they will look for every opportunity, but right now they are getting a lot of bridges completed, so there will be a decrease in activity. A brief discussion ensued.

Ms. Dell asked how this ties into the Long Range Plan. A brief discussion ensued.

Mr. Adams stated that when the TIP is approved today it will need to be approved with the cost adjustments made to Right-of-way and construction costs for the PA 24 Project. A brief discussion ensued on what the local responsibility would be to include sidewalks in the project. Mr. Adams stated he talked with the Project Manager a few days ago and he indicated they are ready or will soon be ready to go. Mr. Clark stated the municipalities wanted to see what the impact/cost would be to include the sidewalks. He noted that is where they are in the project development at this point. Mr. Clark stated we will show them what the impact/cost will be and then they can do what they have to do to make that decision. Mr. Clark stated we can move forward with the project at that point.

Ms. Metz gave an overview of the Bond decisions. She stated there are 4 line items that are Spiked on the Statewide Program. She highlighted the Safe Routes to School, and the Statewide ITS Initiatives. A brief discussion ensued on the work being done on I-83 and resulting deadlock off onto local roads. Mr. Christie stated they are trying to work with all concerned; State Police, Fire, EMA, etc. to better control the traffic issues. He stated sometimes they have been successful and other times it hasn't worked well. Mr. Saylor suggested they include Fire Chiefs and the Legislators for better coordination/communication.

Ms. Metz highlighted the Smart Transportation Initiatives, noting that the Program needs to be refined, but by the time the 2009 Program starts it will be focused on Town Centers and corridors of National significance. She stated there should be opportunities for York County. Ms. Dell asked how York County can access the Program. Ms. Metz stated that as soon as they can that information they will share it with the MPOs. She highlighted the Structurally Deficient Bridge Initiative. Ms. Metz stated that the money is out in 2012; but they will keep the Initiative going until the next TIP development.

Mr. Clark asked for a motion for approval to put out the TIP for Public Comment with the changes. Mr. Gross, City of York made a motion for **approval of the TIP with changes to be put out for Public Comment**. The motion was seconded by Mr. Christie, voted on and carried as stated.

Mr. Clark noted that the other half of the Highway TIP is the Interstate Management TIP and that has not changed.

Mr. Adams highlighted those projects that will be bid, stating that there are a lot of things happening in York County. He pointed out that they put some money together in a package to do a Design/Build on I-83 bridge over Norfolk Southern and got it approved for approximately \$2.7 million and

the I-83 at Lake Redman will be \$5.9 million. Mr. Adams stated that the Interstate Program has served the York County area and District 8 very well. Ms. Dell pointed out that it has worked well for the Interstate bridges, but there are still questions on the Ramp projects.

Ms. Dell asked for clarification on issues with the IM Program and concerns York County has regarding unanswered questions. Ms. Metz stated that if the MPO will give her the unanswered questions she will make sure they are answered. A lengthy discussion ensued regarding interchanges, safety issues, funding and where the money is spent.

- *Air Quality* - Mr. Clark stated that after they get the modifications changed, Ms. Nidam is going to run the Air Quality documentation and then it will go out for Public Comment. He stated they will then have to do it along with Adams County since they are in the same Air Basin.
- *TIP Modifications and Procedures* - Mr. Clark stated they haven't reviewed them yet they just came down on Tuesday. Ms. Metz stated there are not a lot of changes, a few definitions and public involvement items.

## **8. 2009-2035 Long Range Plan Update**

Mr. Clark stated that they are in the process of drafting the 2009-2035 Long Range Plan Update and still coming up section criteria processed through the Sub-Committees. He pointed to the yellow sheets from the packets which are the first two chapters of the Long Range Plan. Mr. Clark stated in July they should have a few more chapters.

## **9. Other Business**

- *Intermodal Management System (IMS)* - Mr. Rebert stated he did an inventory of the Intermodal Management Systems and determined none of the facilities quality as NHS roadway connections.
- *Commuter Services Progress Report* - Brandy Heilman SRTP

Ms. Heilman handed out their Commuter Services Program Update to the Committee members. She highlighted the update, noting a survey they took with an exceptionally high survey match of 98.7% satisfaction rate, which was overwhelmingly positive. Ms. Heilman stated that as of this meeting they have over 6,000 active rideshare application in their database, compared to 2,639 in January of 2007. She noted they receive between 1,000 and 1,800 unique web hits monthly to their website. Ms. Heilman stated they talked with R.H. Sheppard (received over 60 applications in an hour and a half), Harley Davidson, Snyders of Hanover and Gladfelters regarding commuter services to and from those companies. A brief discussion ensued regarding what is being done to encourage biking and walking options and staggered shifts in the Industrial areas.

Mr. Clark stated that in the future we also need to look at providing other avenues to include SRTP in upcoming projects, public meetings, etc. that way we can get their information out during construction projects.

- *Legg Up Farm* - Ms. Dell stated this is a Therapy Center for children, She questioned what the commitment of Capital Budget funds is and where the money would come from.

Mr. Castriota gave an update stating they are ready to get the funding and begin the project. He highlighted the contingent approvals, plans, grants, HOP and possible funding sources that is coming together, as well as, a sustainable Energy Fund from Met Ed.

Mr. Adams shared some of the issues for funding of the project and transportation improvements. Representative Saylor explained the confusion on the original commitment. Representative Saylor stated his office will work on alternatives with Mr. Gillespie's office. A brief discussion ensued. Mr. Clark asked if it will have to be shown on the TIP. Mr. Adams said they should get the money first and then put it on the TIP.

- *Supplemental Planning Studies* -
  - *County Club Road Corridor Study* - Mr. Clark stated they have a Consultant on board and have had two meetings. He stated they do have a website off of the YCPC's website. Mr. Clark stated they should have a Public Meeting about the middle of summer on the actual analysis of the existing conditions and hoping to have it completed with recommendations by the end of 2008.
  - *Northern York County Regional Comprehensive Plan - Transportation Amendment* - Mr. Clark stated they did receive supplemental funding for the project in the amount of \$116,480. He stated it will require a \$29,000 match from another source. Mr. Clark stated the locals are looking at where they will come up with the \$29,000 match. The MPO requested that they adopt an Implementation Agreement between all four municipalities before the money is given to them. Mr. Clark stated it is being drafted and should be passed shortly. Will put out an advertisement for Consultants sometime in June.

Mr. Clark noted that Rabbittransit is proposing a fare increase and will have a Public Hearing on the 29<sup>th</sup> of May.

Representative Saylor pointed out that Representative Nickol will be retiring from the Delegation and his last Coalition meeting will be at the Guthrie Library and there will have something special for him at that time.

## **10. Next Meeting:**

Mr. Clark highlighted the meeting dates, but stated that it looks like they can get everything done and advertised to meet the June 19, 2008. He stated they probably will not be able to get the 30 days in for the June 5, 2008 Technical Committee meeting. Mr. Clark asked if the Technical Committee wants to meet without a final Draft TIP. A brief discussion ensued.

Following that discussion it was decided to cancel both the June 5 and June 26, 2008 meetings until after the Air Quality is completed and it is out for review and set the Technical Committee meeting to 31 days after Public Comment.

**11. Adjournment:**

There being no additional business, Representative Saylor adjourned the meeting at 12:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Jean Ball  
Recording Secretary

**Attendance**  
**York Area Metropolitan Planning Organization**  
**Combination Technical/Coordinating Committee Meeting**  
**April 24, 2008**

<u>Voting Members (# of Votes)</u>	<u>Attended</u>	
<b>PennDOT (2)</b>		
Central Office:	James Ritzman	
Alternates:	Thomas TenEyck	
	Robert Hannigan	
	Walt Panko	x
District 8-0 Office:	Scott Christie	x
Alternates:	Mike Gillespie	
	Terry Adams	x
	Dennis Sloand	x
<b>York County (2)</b>		
Commissioners:	Christopher Reilly	
Alternate:	To be determined	
York Planning Commission:	Terry Dunlap	
Alternate:	Felicia Dell, Secretary	x
<b>York County Transportation Authority (1)</b>		
Chairman:	Raymond Rosen	
Alternates:	Richard Farr	
	Jenna Reedy	
<b>Legislative Appointments (2)</b>		
Legislator:	Representative Stan Saylor, Chair	x
Alternate:	Jo Anna Shovlin	x
Appointee:	Congressman Todd Platts	
Alternate:	Bob Reilly	x
<b>Planning Region Representatives (7)</b>		
Region 1 - South Central York	Patricia Schaub	x
Alternate:	Jason Snyder	x
Region 2 - Greater York East	Jennifer Gunnet	
Alternate:	Paul Knepper	
Region 3 - Greater York West	Kelly Palmer	
Alternate:	Madelyn Shermeyer	
Region 4 - Northern York	Robert Schopfer	
Alternate:	Michael Fleming	x
Region 5 - South Western York	Ron Orndorff	x
Alternate:	Jeff Garvick	
Region 6 - City of York	John Brenner	
Alternates:	Jim Gross	x
Region 7 - South Eastern York	Vacant	
Alternate:	William Scott	
<b>Advisory Members (0)</b>		
Federal Transit Admin:	Janet Kampf	
Federal Highway Administration:	Kathy Dimpsey	
FAA-Airport District Office:	Lori Ledebohm	

**Attendance (Continued)**  
**York Area Metropolitan Planning Organization**  
**Combination Technical/Coordinating Committee Meeting**  
**April 24, 2008**

**Voting Members (# of Votes)**

**Attended**

**Other Planning Partners (0)**

Adams County Planning	Richard Schmoyer
Capital City Airport:	David Spaulding
Genessee & Wyoming, Inc.	Kim Smith
Alternate:	Jonathan Rudman
Lancaster Co Planning Commission	Dave Royer
Tri-County Reg. Planning Commission	James Szyborski

**Other Persons Attending (0)**

Representative Gillespie	Seth Grove	x
Representative Stan Saylor	Andrew Litz	x
CS Davidson	Michelle Madzellan	x
CS Davidson	Jim Patil	x
Commuter Services of S. Central PA	Brandy Heilman	x
Concerned Citizen	Janis Lyman	x
Erdmans Anthony Assoc.	Bob Leonard	x
Leg Up Farm	Lou Castriota	x
York County Planning Commission	Donald Bubb	x
	Jeph Rebert	x
	Will Clark	x
	Heather Bitner	x
	Beth Nidam	x
	Joe Marczyk	x
	Jean Ball, Recording Secretary	x