

BJA BUREAU OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE

RECOVERY ACT

**GMS Report
American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA)
Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program
2009-SB-B9-2066
Reporting Period: October 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010**

This report is generated for the York County grantee for federal award number 2009-SB-B9-2066. The award amount is \$579,552. It reflects performance measurement data for the period October 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010. Any funds reported only represent an estimate of dollars allocated or used for activities covered by this award.

A total of 12 subawards were made to the 12 subrecipients for this period. The subrecipients may include disparate jurisdictions.

The following table shows the status of reported grant dollars during this time period.

Amount of Federal Award Reported During the October 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010 Period	
Grantee-level Reported Performance Data	\$54,862
10 Subawards Reporting Performance Data	\$496,022
2 Subawards Reporting Not Operational	\$28,668
Amount of Federal Award Reported	\$579,552
Total Amount of Federal Award	\$579,552

Grantee Activity Reported

The Grantee designated that all grant funds are used by the grantee and subrecipients. The amount allocated for grantee-level activity was \$54,862. This amount includes a 1% administrative set aside.

Purpose Areas Selected

Selected Purpose Areas	Allocated Amount
PA 1: Law Enforcement	\$49,376
Administrative Set Aside	\$5,486

Activities Funded

Grantee-level activities were funded as follows:

Selected Purpose Areas	Activity
PA 1: Law Enforcement	Personnel (SI)

Performance Measurement Data

Grantee-level data for the period October 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010 were reported as follows:

Performance Measurement	Law Enforcement - Personnel		
	Oct - Dec 09	Jan - Mar 10	Apr - Jun 10
32. Number of new personnel hired with ARRA JAG funds			
A. Number of NEW personnel hired with ARRA JAG funds during the reporting period (ONLY REPORT NEW PERSONNEL HIRED DURING THE QUARTER. THIS NUMBER WILL BE AGGREGATED ACROSS ALL REPORTING PERIODS).	NR	NR	NR
B. Total number of new personnel hired with all OTHER (non-ARRA JAG) funding during the reporting period	NR	NR	NR
C. Total (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	NR
D. Percent (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	NR
33. Indicate the type of NEW personnel hired with ARRA JAG funds			
A. Law Enforcement Personnel	NR	NR	NR
B. Prosecution and Court Personnel	NR	NR	NR
C. Prevention and Education Personnel	NR	NR	NR
D. Corrections and Community Corrections Personnel	NR	NR	NR
E. Drug Treatment and Enforcement Personnel	NR	NR	NR
F. Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Personnel	NR	NR	NR
G. Crime Victim and Witness Personnel	NR	NR	NR
34. Number of personnel retained with ARRA JAG funds			
A. Number of personnel retained with ARRA JAG funds during the reporting period. (DOES NOT INCLUDE NEW PERSONNEL HIRED DURING THE CURRENT AND PREVIOUS REPORTING PERIODS).	NR	3	3.68
B. Total number of existing personnel paid by all OTHER (non-ARRA JAG) sources during the reporting period	NR	101	104
C. Total (a+b)Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	104	107.68
D. Percent (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	2.88%	3.42%
35. Indicate the type of RETAINED personnel paid with ARRA JAG funds			
A. Law Enforcement Personnel	NR	Yes	Yes
B. Prosecution and Court Personnel	NR	No	No
C. Prevention and Education Personnel	NR	No	No
D. Corrections and Community Corrections Personnel	NR	No	No
E. Drug Treatment and Enforcement Personnel	NR	No	No
F. Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Personnel	NR	No	No
G. Crime Victim and Witness Personnel	NR	No	No
36. Number of overtime hours paid with ARRA JAG funds			

A. Number of overtime hours paid with ARRA JAG funds during the reporting period. (REPORT HOURS OF OVERTIME PAID NOT DOLLARS PAID).	NR	NR	NR
B. Total number of hours of overtime paid by all OTHER (non-ARRA JAG) sources during the reporting period	NR	NR	NR
C. Total (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	NR
D. Percent (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	NR
37. Percent of departments that report desired efficiency			
A. Number of departments that report desired efficiency during the reporting period	NR	1	2
B. Total number of departments that used ARRA JAG funds to hire new personnel, maintain personnel or pay for overtime hours	NR	1	2
C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	100%	100%
38. Percent of departments that report desired program quality			
A. Number of departments that report desired program quality during the reporting period	NR	1	2
B. Total number of departments that used ARRA JAG funds to hire new personnel, maintain personnel or pay for overtime hours	NR	1	2
C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	100%	100%

Grantee Activity

Performance Data Reported

Performance Measurement	Outcomes for All Activities - Outcomes for All Activity Areas		
	Oct - Dec 09	Jan - Mar 10	Apr - Jun 10
84. Change in number of individuals arrested in a targeted group by crime type			
A. The number of individuals (by related crime) arrested during the quarter before the start of the award	NR	NR	123
B. Total number of individuals arrested (by related crime) during the reporting period	NR	NR	95
C. We had no expectations about changes in number of individuals arrested of crime as a result of our efforts.	NR	NR	
85. Change in reported crime rates in a community by crime type			
A. Number of reported crimes (targeted by ARRA JAG funds) during the quarter before the start of the award	NR	NR	670
B. Total number of reported crimes (targeted by ARRA JAG funds) during the period	NR	NR	646
C. We had no expectations about the crime rate as a result of our efforts	NR	NR	
86. Type of crime			
A. Homicides	NR	NR	Yes
B. Forcible Rapes	NR	NR	Yes
C. Robberies	NR	NR	Yes
D. Aggravated Assaults	NR	NR	Yes
E. Other, please define.			
Oct - Dec 09	NR		
Jan - Mar 10	NR		
Apr - Jun 10	A goal of the proposed grant projects is to increase the overall efficiency of the Department's operation. Therefore, we are considering the grant funds to be targeted towards all Part I crimes. The arrest and crime information reported above refers to data for the York City Police Department, as the Nuisance Abatement personnel paid with grant funds operate as part of the City's Police Department.		

Subrecipient Activity Reported

Purpose Areas Selected

Selected Purpose Areas	Allocated Amount	Amount Not Operational
PA 1: Law Enforcement	\$524,690	\$28,668

Activities Funded

Subrecipient-level activities were funded as follows:

Selected Purpose Areas	Activity
PA 1: Law Enforcement	Training (SI) Personnel (SI) Equipment/Supplies (SI)

Performance Measurement Data

Subrecipient-level data collected from all active subrecipients, for the period October 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010 is reported below:

Performance Measurement	Law Enforcement - Training		
	Oct - Dec 09	Jan - Mar 10	Apr - Jun 10
14. Amount of ARRA JAG funds used to provide training	NR	2,000	0
15. Number of training requests received	NR	1	NR
16. Number of individuals trained	NR	17	NR
17. Number of defined groups trained (SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA FOR ITEM #16 IS NOT REPORTED)			
A. Number of defined groups trained during the reporting period	NR	NR	NR
B. Total number of defined groups needing training during the reporting period	NR	NR	NR
C. Percent of those needing training and were trained (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	NR
18. Number of training events held			
A. Number of training calls held during the reporting period	NR	0	NR
B. Number of training conferences held during the reporting period	NR	0	NR
C. Number of training manuals produced during the reporting period	NR	0	NR
D. Other forms of training conducted during the reporting period	NR	3	NR
E. Sum (a+b+c+d) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	3	NR
19. Percent of individuals who completed training			
A. Number of individuals who completed training during the reporting period	NR	17	NR
B. Total number of individuals who participated in training during the reporting period	NR	17	NR

C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	100%	NR
20. Percent of defined groups that completed training (SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA FOR ITEM #17 IS REPORTED)			
A. Number of defined groups who completed the training during the reporting period	NR	NR	NR
B. Total number of defined groups that participated in the training during the reporting period	NR	NR	NR
C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	NR
21. Percent of individuals who reported the training as useful			
A. Number of individuals trained who report training as useful during the reporting period	NR	17	NR
B. Number of individuals who completed training during the reporting period (Auto-filled by PMT from #19A)	NR	17	NR
C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	100%	NR
22. Percent of defined groups who reported the training as useful (SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA FOR ITEM #20 IS REPORTED)			
A. Number of defined groups who reported the training as useful during the reporting period	NR	NR	NR
B. Number of defined groups trained during the reporting period (Auto-filled by PMT from #20a)	NR	NR	NR
C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	NR
23. Percent of individuals who reported an increase in skills			
A. Number of individuals who reported an increase in skills during the reporting period	NR	17	NR
B. Number of individuals trained during the reporting period	NR	17	NR
C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	100%	NR
24. Percent of individuals who reported an increase in knowledge			
A. Number of individuals who reported an increase in knowledge during the reporting period	NR	17	NR
B. Number of individuals trained during the reporting period	NR	17	NR
C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	100%	NR

Subrecipient Activity

Performance Data Reported

Performance Measurement	Law Enforcement - Personnel		
	Oct - Dec 09	Jan - Mar 10	Apr - Jun 10
32. Number of new personnel hired with ARRA JAG funds			
A. Number of NEW personnel hired with ARRA JAG funds during the reporting period (ONLY REPORT NEW PERSONNEL HIRED DURING THE QUARTER. THIS NUMBER WILL BE AGGREGATED ACROSS ALL REPORTING PERIODS).	NR	NR	0
B. Total number of new personnel hired with all OTHER (non-ARRA JAG) funding during the reporting period	NR	NR	0
C. Total (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	0
D. Percent (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	NR
33. Indicate the type of NEW personnel hired with ARRA JAG funds			
A. Law Enforcement Personnel	NR	NR	NR
B. Prosecution and Court Personnel	NR	NR	NR
C. Prevention and Education Personnel	NR	NR	NR
D. Corrections and Community Corrections Personnel	NR	NR	NR
E. Drug Treatment and Enforcement Personnel	NR	NR	NR
F. Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Personnel	NR	NR	NR
G. Crime Victim and Witness Personnel	NR	NR	NR
34. Number of personnel retained with ARRA JAG funds			
A. Number of personnel retained with ARRA JAG funds during the reporting period. (DOES NOT INCLUDE NEW PERSONNEL HIRED DURING THE CURRENT AND PREVIOUS REPORTING PERIODS).	NR	NR	0.17
B. Total number of existing personnel paid by all OTHER (non-ARRA JAG) sources during the reporting period	NR	NR	0.17
C. Total (a+b)Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	0.34
D. Percent (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	50%
35. Indicate the type of RETAINED personnel paid with ARRA JAG funds			
A. Law Enforcement Personnel	NR	NR	Yes
B. Prosecution and Court Personnel	NR	NR	No
C. Prevention and Education Personnel	NR	NR	No
D. Corrections and Community Corrections Personnel	NR	NR	No
E. Drug Treatment and Enforcement Personnel	NR	NR	No
F. Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Personnel	NR	NR	No
G. Crime Victim and Witness Personnel	NR	NR	No
36. Number of overtime hours paid with ARRA JAG funds			

A.	Number of overtime hours paid with ARRA JAG funds during the reporting period. (REPORT HOURS OF OVERTIME PAID NOT DOLLARS PAID).	NR	NR	86.25
B.	Total number of hours of overtime paid by all OTHER (non-ARRA JAG) sources during the reporting period	NR	NR	778.25
C.	Total (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	864.50
D.	Percent (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	9.98%
37.	Percent of departments that report desired efficiency			
A.	Number of departments that report desired efficiency during the reporting period	NR	NR	2
B.	Total number of departments that used ARRA JAG funds to hire new personnel, maintain personnel or pay for overtime hours	NR	NR	2
C.	Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	100%
38.	Percent of departments that report desired program quality			
A.	Number of departments that report desired program quality during the reporting period	NR	NR	2
B.	Total number of departments that used ARRA JAG funds to hire new personnel, maintain personnel or pay for overtime hours	NR	NR	2
C.	Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	NR	NR	100%

Subrecipient Activity

Performance Data Reported

Performance Measurement	Law Enforcement - Equipment/Supplies		
	Oct - Dec 09	Jan - Mar 10	Apr - Jun 10
39. Amount of ARRA JAG funds used to purchase equipment and/or supplies	70,701	163,475.39	101,360.38
40. Indicate the quantity for each type of equipment and/or supplies purchased with ARRA JAG funds. (REPORT QUANTITY NOT DOLLARS).			
A. Weapons	0	18	14
B. Equipment for police cruisers	0	11	13
C. Uniforms	0	5	0
D. Computer aided dispatch (CAD)	0	0	0
E. Records management system (RMS)	0	0	0
F. Software	0	40	0
G. Computers	11	10	3
H. Mobile access equipment (ex. Aircards for Verizon, Sprint, AT&T, etc.)	0	0	0
I. Security systems (station or evidence room)	0	0	4
J. Biometric equipment (Live scans, fingerprint readers, etc.)	0	0	0
K. In-car camera systems	0	0	0
L. Video observation (station, community, pole cams)	0	0	0
M. Undercover surveillance equipment (microphones, video)	0	0	0
N. License plate readers	0	0	0
O. Kiosk units for community access or registration	0	0	0
P. Vehicles	3	0	1
Q. Radios	0	3	16
R. Other (please specify type and quantity)			
Oct - Dec 09	0		
	One mobile peed trailer was purchased this quarter.		
Jan - Mar 10	(1) 3M Interactive Wall Board and installation		
	(1) Cisco SB switch - related to installation of two computers noted above.		
	(1) Visual Alert data conversion package		
	(3) Tactical defense weapons lights; (5) Model 100 tint meters		
	0		
	Purchase of (100) Taser Air Cartridges; (4) LoadOMeter wheel weight scales; (1) Fuming Cabinet, (1) Defumigator, (1) Megamaxx Alt. Light Source; (75) Safariland duty holsters, (75) tactical weapon lights, (6) Streamlight battery 12-packs; (110) MyCllys First Response Sprays; and (25) plate carrier rigs.		

Apr - Jun 10

(1) Leica Total Station was purchased. This item has not been put to use in the field to date because training on its use has not been fully completed. (1) ENRADD Wireless Sytem was purchased.

(25) Protech SingleCurve 10x12 ceramic plates and (25) black vest carry bags

(3) holsters for Tasers

0

Expenditure included partial payment on a 2010 Ford Escape, and costs associated with equipment upfitting.

Other equipment purchased was: (5) portable breath testers and related items.

Software and a firewall were purchased for the above computer server.

<p>41. Number of equipment and/or supply requests funded with ARRA JAG funds. SHOULD ONLY ANSWER IF YOUR AGENCY RECEIVED REQUESTS CONSIDERED FOR FUNDING WITH ARRA JAG FUNDS.</p>			
<p>A. Number of equipment and/or supply requests funded with ARRA JAG funds during the reporting period</p>	3	21	21
<p>B. Number of equipment and/or supply requests received for consideration for funding with ARRA JAG funds</p>	3	21	21
<p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	100%	100%	100%
<p>42. Percent of staff that directly benefit from equipment or supplies purchased by ARRA JAG funds, who report a desired change in their job performance</p>			
<p>A. Number of staff who report a desired change in job performance as a result of the direct benefit of equipment and/or supplies purchased with ARRA JAG funds during the reporting period</p>	17	188	193
<p>B. Number of staff to receive equipment or supplies purchased with ARRA JAG funds during the reporting period</p>	17	217	193
<p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	100%	86.64%	100%
<p>D. Explain the impact on job performance for the reporting period</p>			

Oct - Dec 09

The speed trailer has allowed the department to monitor and record speeding vehicles at locations where we have received complaints from the public without sacrificing manpower. Officers can remain on patrol and investigate criminal complaints and calls for service rather than being tasked with sitting at a location and observing traffic flows.

While supplies in the form of 5 17" LCD monitors and 6 Wyse Thin Clients were purchased just prior to the end of the quarter, the computers had not been received by staff at the end of the quarter.

While three Dodge Charger police vehicles were purchased this quarter, the purchase was late in the quarter and the cars were not put into use prior to the end of December.

Jan - Mar 10

No new purchases were made in this quarter; however, the speed trailer purchased in the prior quarter continues to allow the department to monitor and record speeding vehicles at locations without sacrificing manpower.

The Alert Version 2 upgrade has improved our reporting system, especially when tracking follow-up investigations to assure timely assistance to citizens. The terminal server is not currently operational due to unexpected delays in the installation of the Alert Version 2 program in police vehicles.

The equipment purchased allowed officers to perform their duties in a safer manner than before. Tasers have decreased the number of officer injuries due to use of force, and the MyClyns spray reduces risk when officers are exposed to blood in the field. Holsters and gun lights give officers extra protection when on duty. Efficiency is also increased. The purchase of forensic equipment has allowed crime scene technicians to process vehicles and large equipment off-site and out of the elements. The forensic equipment has been used to process several vehicles involved in shootings. The wheel load scales purchase with ARRA JAG funds have enabled officers to conduct traffic details on a major U.S. Highway within the City. All equipment performed as expected.

The equipment purchased has enabled the police department to more efficiently, effectively and in a timelier manner perform their duties, training, in-service and patrol functions through technology and equipment enhancements. The equipment provides a faster platform by which the records management system can be accessed to process reports, prepare affidavits of probable cause and arrest warrant affidavits. It also provides computer integration training capabilities, which take up less physical space so that more officers can attend a single training session.

The equipment purchases have permitted officers to conduct their duties with greater efficiency and safety. The equipment and supplies assisted in training officers in rifle use, and allowed our department to have each vehicle equipped.

The purchase of seven in-car video camera systems occurred in the last week of the quarter. The equipment was not installed or put into use by officers prior to the end of the quarter.

The two desktop computer workstations create a user-friendly environment for patrol officers and the new Lieutenant. The Lieutenant previously had to share a workstation with others. The purchase also included updated hardware and software for the Police Department. The equipment has exceeded expectations for performance. Human Resources personnel are more efficient in preparing police reports and time savings have been noticed. Officers and staff also no longer have to wait to use an outdated and slow shared computer.

This purchase, consisting of 4 Taser X26E kits, has allowed four additional officers to be equipped with Tasers. Now, a majority of the patrol officers have access to a Taser, which increases officer safety in the field.

Apr - Jun 10

All patrol officers have experienced improvement in their ability to perform their duties safely and efficiently. Also, security system enhancements at City Hall (police department offices) increases personal safety and evidence integrity.

The (7) Digital In-Car video systems purchased in a prior quarter are not yet fully operable. Staff has not had the opportunity to assess their benefits to job performance.

The Department's two criminal investigators received the laptop computers. Having the computers available to them in the field has allowed them to be more effective and efficient in conducting their investigations. The computers allow the officers to stay connected to the office while in the field. Training on the use of the ENRADD Wireless System was recently completed and the equipment is operating as expected. ENRADD Wireless Systems are fully electronic and are not susceptible to human error in the way that hand-held speed timing devices are.

The equipment allows officers to remain in the field on patrol while completing reports, where previously they had to report to the station in order to complete paperwork. The equipment has increased efficiency in filing reports.

The equipment has allowed officers to connect to the in-house records system via mobile data computers in their vehicles. This has increased performance, increased the time officers are on the street and visible to the public. Officer safety has also been enhanced. Performance of equipment has exceeded expectations. (This data refers to performance of equipment purchased in prior quarters.)

The equipment purchased this quarter allows for officers to have immediate access to patrol rifles, i.e., one in each car. Prior to the purchases, patrol rifles were kept in the trunks of the cars, where they were difficult to access quickly. The rifles are now mounted securely in the interior of each patrol vehicle. The purchase of the portable breath testers allows each patrol vehicle access to a tester, allowing for more efficient operation and more accurate test results. Previously, an officer without access to a breath tester had to wait for a 2nd officer to arrive with one.

The purchase of 15 upgrade kits means that all radios now scan all critical radio frequencies, increasing communication abilities and officer safety. The purchase of a P7150 portable radio package allows two officers assigned to the York County Special Response Team to communicate between team members. Encryption capabilities enhance communication and officer safety.

The purchase of 3 additional TASERS and holsters ensures that each duty officer or detective has access to the defensive tool. Prior to the purchase, the inventory was not sufficient to make sure that everyone on duty was equipped. This equipment adds to the department's mission of providing safe and effective equipment to our officers. It has been effective in preventing the use of additional

force with suspects and arrestees.

The vehicle enabled the department to replace vehicles that were damaged in a traffic crash and/or taken out of service due to high mileage and/or maintenance issues. The replacement vehicle has provided the department with a full complement of vehicles in the police fleet, enabling all officers more opportunity to patrol, both in the patrol division, administrative division, and the criminal investigations division.

Subrecipient Activity

Performance Data Reported

Performance Measurement	Outcomes for All Activities - Outcomes for All Activity Areas		
	Oct - Dec 09	Jan - Mar 10	Apr - Jun 10
84. Change in number of individuals arrested in a targeted group by crime type			
A. The number of individuals (by related crime) arrested during the quarter before the start of the award	NR	NR	860
B. Total number of individuals arrested (by related crime) during the reporting period	NR	NR	741
C. We had no expectations about changes in number of individuals arrested of crime as a result of our efforts.	NR	NR	10
85. Change in reported crime rates in a community by crime type			
A. Number of reported crimes (targeted by ARRA JAG funds) during the quarter before the start of the award	NR	NR	2,306
B. Total number of reported crimes (targeted by ARRA JAG funds) during the period	NR	NR	2,167
C. We had no expectations about the crime rate as a result of our efforts	NR	NR	10
86. Type of crime			
A. Homicides	NR	NR	10
B. Forcible Rapes	NR	NR	10
C. Robberies	NR	NR	10
D. Aggravated Assaults	NR	NR	10
E. Other, please define.			
Oct - Dec 09	NR		
Jan - Mar 10	NR		
Apr - Jun 10	<p>A goal of the proposed grant projects is to increase the overall efficiency of the Department's operation. Therefore, we are considering the grant funds to be targeted towards all Part I crimes.</p> <hr/> <p>A goal of the proposed grant projects is to increase the overall efficiency of the Department's operation. Therefore, we are considering the grant funds to be targeted towards all Part I crimes. The personnel information included in this report also encompasses Red Lion Borough's personnel project. Both York Township and Red Lion Borough are putting their grant funds toward equipment and personnel projects for the York Area Regional Police Department. To avoid double-reporting, information on jobs retained has only been entered on York Township's subrecipient report.</p> <hr/> <p>Because the York Area Regional Police Department's jurisdiction includes both Red Lion Borough and York Township, the information regarding personnel retained with ARRA funds is being submitted only under York Township's subrecipient report. This is being done to avoid double-reporting of retained positions.</p> <hr/> <p>A goal of the proposed grant projects is to increase the overall efficiency of the Department's operation. Therefore, we are considering the grant funds to be targeted towards all Part I crimes.</p>		

Grantee Comments

Grantee comments were added as follows:

October to December 2009

[No Comments Entered]

January to March 2010

[No Comments Entered]

April to June 2010

The following comments apply to this report (Apr-June 2010):

1. The crime data entered for Springettsbury Township for the current quarter does not include reported crimes for June. These numbers were not available by the PMT reporting due date.
2. The "Personnel" activity indicated on York City's subrecipient report was entered in error. The City does not have a personnel project approved as part of its grant projects.
3. York Area Regional Police Department (YAPD) serves both York Township and Red Lion Borough. Both municipalities are funding grant projects through the YAPD, and so funds from each municipality are funding a single personnel project through the YAPD. For accuracy's sake, and to avoid double-reporting, the reports submitted note that Red Lion Borough has an active personnel project this quarter. However, the majority of the data associated with the personnel project, including jobs retained and overtime hours worked, has been entered only in York Township's subrecipient report. Crime data reported for both municipalities refers to data for the YAPD.

For more information contact BJAPMT@csrincorporated.com
Toll-free Technical Assistance Helpdesk Number: 1-888-252-6867